

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/445,733	ILYASHENKO, VICTOR M.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Pomianek.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

31, 33

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 31 was amended by adding claim 33 thereto and a recitation as to forming the concentration gradient as per conversation with Mr. Pomianek and set forth in an e-mail from Mr. Pomianek.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)